

Dear FCC,

In the proposed rule making in which there may be categorically exclusion of telecommunications facilities, I am very concerned.

As the agency in regulating RF exposure, I hope you keep in mind individuals living close to these facilities. The providers of telecommunications, radio and HDTV, etc. should be held accountable and not be able to unnecessarily expose people, specifically children to higher levels than mandated. Especially since those standards only take thermal effects and NOT nonthermal effects into account.

Additionally the method of RF measurement is a concern to me. Again I hope your final conclusion will be in the best interest of people and not industry. For example, holding the measuring device in front of the person measuring the RF exposure is a more valid reading than putting the persons body in the middle of the source and the device since the human body will absorb some RF, thus lowering the measurement.

Additionally it would be great to take into consideration a child's physiological and biological make-up along with their neurological development when

considering the RF exposure limits and methods of measurement.

Please keep an open mind to review the research in the area of non-ionizing radiation and nonthermal effects.

You do not want the FCC to be negligent by overlooking protection of humans from long term RF exposure for the sake of industry's profit.

Regards,  
Margaret Brown

---

Do you Yahoo!?  
New Yahoo! Photos - easier uploading and sharing.  
<http://photos.yahoo.com/>